

INFLUENZA WEEKLY UPDATE

2014/23: 2-8 June 2014

The national influenza surveillance system in New Zealand is an essential public health component for assessing and implementing strategies to control influenza. This report summarises the data collected from sentinel general practice (GP) surveillance and non-sentinel surveillance for week 23 (2–8 June 2014).

Summary

- ILI through sentinel surveillance was reported from 17 out of 20 District Health Boards (DHB) with a national consultation rate of 18.2 per 100 000 (52 ILI consultations).
- A total of 206 swabs were received from sentinel (11) and non-sentinel (195) surveillance.
- 23 viruses were identified: A (not sub-typed) (14), A(H1N1)pdm09 (7), A(H3N2) (1) and B (not antigenically typed) (1).

INFLUENZA-LIKE ILLNESS SURVEILLANCE

In the past week, a total of 52 consultations for influenza-like illness (ILI) were reported from 55 general practices in 17 out of 20 DHBs. This gives a weekly consultation rate of 18.2 per 100 000 patient population. Figure 1 shows the weekly national consultation rate for 2014 in comparison to the average epidemic curve in 2000–2013 (excluding 2009). For more details on threshold definitions, see Appendix. The current rate of influenza-like illness is below the seasonal threshold.

Figure 1. Weekly consultation rates for influenza-like illness in New Zealand in 2014 in comparison to the average epidemic curve in 2000–2013 (excluding 2009)




Figure 2 shows the weekly national consultation rate for 2014 in comparison to the previous years 2010–2013.

200 -2010 180 2011 Consultation rate (per 100 000) 160 2012 2013 140 2014 120 100 Seaonal threshold 80 60 40 20 0 9 11 13 15 17 19 21 23 25 27 29 31 33 35 37 39 41 43 45 47 49 51 3 5 Sep May Week

Figure 2. Weekly consultation rates for influenza-like illness in New Zealand, 2010–2014

Figure 3 compares the consultation rates for influenza-like illness for each DHB over the past week. Whanganui DHB had the highest consultation rate (63.5 per 100 000, 3 cases) followed by MidCentral (46.0 per 100 000, 4 cases).





Figure 3. Weekly consultation rates for influenza-like illness by DHB week ending 8 June 2014

http://www.esr.cri.nz/competencies/shivers/Pages/SHIVERSReports.aspx

^{*} Not data. [] Not participating in the influenza sentinel surveillance. Based on the SHIVERS weekly report, the ILI incidence for Auckland and Counties Manukau DHBs for week 23 were 26.1 per 100 000 and 44.6 per 100 000 patient populations, respectively. For more details, please refer to the website:

Figure 4. Consultation rates for influenza-like illness mapped by DHB for week 23, 2014



VIROLOGICAL SURVEILLANCE

A total of 11 swabs were received from sentinel surveillance. Of these, three influenza viruses were identified as A (not sub-typed) (2) from Waikato and A(H1N1)pdm09 (1) from Southern DHBs.


In addition, 195 swabs were received by virology laboratories from non-sentinel surveillance. Of these, 20 influenza viruses were identified: A (not sub-typed) (12), A(H1N1)pdm09 (6), A(H3N2) (1), and B (not antigenically typed) (1). The distribution by DHB is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Influenza viruses from non-sentinel surveillance for week 23 by DHB
--

	DHB						
Antigenic strain	AK	СМ	WK	BP	CC	СВ	Total
A (not sub-typed)	0	0	5	1	6	0	12
A(H1N1)pdm09	0	2	0	0	0	4	6
A(H3N2)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
B (not antigenically typed)	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Total	1	3	5	1	6	4	20

Figure 5 shows the cumulative total of influenza viruses confirmed (sentinel and non-sentinel surveillance) from week 1 to the end of week 23 (8 June 2014). A total of 274 influenza viruses were identified: A(H1N1)pdm09 (144) including 23 A/California/7/2009 (H1N1)-like viruses, A (not sub-typed) (61), B (35) including 12 B/Wisconsin/1/2010-like viruses and four of B/Brisbane/60/2008-like viruses, and A(H3N2) (34) including 12 A/Victoria/361/2011 (H3N2)-like viruses.

Figure 5. Cumulative laboratory-confirmed viruses by DHB from week 1 to week 23, 8 June 2014



APPENDIX

New Zealand's ILI data in recent years was reviewed and updated:

- The average epidemic curve (based on the 2000–2013 ILI data, excluding 2009) is the usual level of influenza activity that may occur during a typical year using the method described in "Global epidemiological surveillance standards for influenza" (http://www.who.int/influenza/resources/documents/WHO_Epidemiological_Influenza_Surveillance_Standards_2014.pdf).
- The seasonal threshold is the level of influenza activity that signals the start and end of the annual influenza season and it was based on the 2000–2013 ILI data (excluding 2009) using the Moving Epidemic Method (*Vega et al. Influenza and other respiratory viruses* 2013;7(4):546-558). A weekly rate of 36 ILI consultations per 100 000 patient population is considered the seasonal threshold.
- Alert threshold (defined as 90% upper confidence interval of the mean) is a level above which, varying by time of year, influenza activity is higher than most years.
- A rate of 37–149 per 100 000 is used to describe normal seasonal influenza activity based on the 25th and 75th percentiles of the ILI data (2000–2013 excluding 2009). A rate of 150–399 is used to describe higher than expected influenza activity (i.e. 2009 pandemic). A rate of ≥400 is used to describe an epidemic level of influenza activity (i.e. 1996 experience).